## **NAVIGATION**

- GO Customize Threshold Requirements
- GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- GO Customize New Project Rating Tool

### CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

CoC	Threshold Requirements	(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to	include.)
Χ	Coordinated Entry Participation		
Χ	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementat	ion	
Χ	Documented, secured minimum match		
Χ	Project has reasonable costs per permanent h	ousing exit, as defined locally	(The first five requirements are
Χ	Project is financially feasible		process either as Threshold Re
Χ	Applicant is active CoC participant		
Χ	Application is complete and data are consister	nt	
Χ	Data quality at or above 90%		
Χ	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%		
Χ	Acceptable organizational audit/financial revie	ew	
Χ	Documented organizational financial stability		

### **CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL**

	Using the drop-down menu on the left customize rating factors for each project type or delete the type to view all factors at once.  Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.	ı can add addit	ional local	ly-defined criter	ria below. S
Pe	rformance Measures	Factor/G	ìoal	Max P	oint Va
Ler	gth of Stay				
<u></u>	RRH - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
	PSH - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
	TH - On average, participants stay in project XX days				
	TH+RRH - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days				
	TH+RRH - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
_	ss to Permanent Housing				
Х	RRH - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
Х	PSH - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
	TH - Minimum percent move to permanent housing				
Х	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
Ret	urns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)				
	RRH - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing				
	PSH - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing				
	TH - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing				
	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing				
Ne	w or Increased Income and Earned Income				
	RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers				
	PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers				
	TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers				
	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers				
	RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers				
	PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers				
	TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers				

TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers

	PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
	TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
Se	rve High Need Populations (select from drop-down menu)			
	APR data on≥ 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered			
Х	RRH - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	10	points
Х	RRH - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	47 %	10	points
Χ	RRH - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	20 %	10	points
Х	PSH - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	10	points
Х	PSH - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	47 %	10	 points
Х	PSH - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	20 %	10	 points
		<del></del> ' -		
<u> </u>	TH - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry			
	TH - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability			
	TH - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation			
Х	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	10	points
Х	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	50 %	10	points
Х	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	50 %	10	points
				_
Pr	oject Effectiveness			
Х	RRH - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
Х	PSH - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
	TH - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
Х	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	points
	RRH - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
	PSH - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
	TH - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
	RRH - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures			
	PSH - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures			
	TH - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures			
	TH+RRH - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and			
	procedures			
Oŧ	her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu)			
X	ner and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu)  Coc Monitoring Score Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	100	points
X	RRH - Project focuse on Chronically Homeless	<u>- 163</u>	30	<del>-</del> -
X	RRH - Serves non-Richland counties	<del></del> -	20	points points
Х		<del></del> -	30	
X	PSH - Project focuses on Chronically Homeless PSH - Serves non-Richland counties	<del></del>	20	points points
X		<del></del>		
X	PSH - Supplemental Application Score	<del></del>	30 30	points
	RRH - Supplemental Application Score	<del></del> -		points
X	TH+RRH - Project focuses on Chronically Homeless		30	points
	TH+RRH - Serves non-Richland counties	<del></del> -	20	points
Х	TH+RRH - Supplemental Application Score	<del></del> -	30	points
_				
	Total Maximum Score	RRH projects:	255	points
		PSH projects:	255	points

# **CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL**

TH projects: 100 points
TH+RRH projects: 255 points

Experience Factor/Goal Max Point Valu

#### CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

Х	A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.			_	15	points
Х	B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.			_	10	_ points
Х	C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.			_	5	_points
Des	sign of Housing & Supportive Services					
Х	A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.			_	15	_points
Х	B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.			_	5	_ points
Х	C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.			_	5	_ points
Tin	neliness					
Х	A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a					points
ш	detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.			_	10	_ points
	detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.  ancial			_	10	_ points
				_	5	_ points
Fin	ancial			_		
Fin X	ancial  A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.			_		
Fin X	ancial  A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:			- -	5	_ points
Fin X	ancial  A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess			- - -	5	points points
Fin X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings			- - - -	5 3 3 4	points points points points
Fin X X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.			- - - -	5 3 3 4 5	points points points points points
Fin X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings			- - - -	5 3 3 4	points points points points
Fin X X X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.			- - - - -	5 3 3 4 5	points points points points points
Fin X X X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.  D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	95	_%	- - - - -	5 3 3 4 5	points points points points points
Fin X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.  D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	95	%	- - - - -	5 3 3 4 5 20	points points points points points points
Fin X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.  D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.  Dject Effectiveness  Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	95	%	- - - -	5 3 3 4 5 20	points points points points points points
Fin X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	ancial  A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess  2. Identified agency as 'low risk'  3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.  D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.  Dject Effectiveness  Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals  her and Local Criteria	95_	%	- - - - -	5 3 3 4 5 20	points points points points points points points
Fin X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess 2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.  D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.  Diject Effectiveness  Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals  There and Local Criteria  Project focuses on Chronically Homeless	95	%	- - - - -	5 3 3 4 5 20 5	points points points points points points points points
Fin X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.  B. Organization's most recent audit:  1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess 2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 3. Indicates no findings  C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.  Dject Effectiveness  Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals  Project focuses on Chronically Homeless  Project serves non-Richland Counties	95	%	- - - -	5 3 3 4 5 20 5	points points points points points points points points points

Total Maximum Score All projects: 190 points